## Subject: Re: [RFC/PATCH] cgroup swap subsystem Posted by Daisuke Nishimura on Thu, 06 Mar 2008 11:45:27 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi.

- > At first look, remembering mm struct is not very good.
- > Remembering swap controller itself is better.

The swap\_cgroup when the page(and page\_cgroup) is allocated and the swap\_cgroup when the page is going to be swapped out may be different by swap\_cgroup\_move\_task(), so I think swap\_cgroup to be charged should be determined at the point of swapout.

Instead of pointing mm\_struct from page\_cgroup, it would be better to determine the mm\_struct which the page to be swapped out is belongs to by rmap, and charge swap\_cgroup of the mm\_struct. In this implementation, I don't need to add new member to page\_cgroup.

What do you think?

Thanks, Daisuke Nishimura.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers