Subject: Re: [RFC/PATCH] cgroup swap subsystem
Posted by Paul Menage on Thu, 06 Mar 2008 00:35:43 GMT

On Wed, Mar 5, 2008 at 4:33 PM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki
<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> wrote:
> Is this kind of new entry in mem_cgroup not good ?
> ==
> struct mem_cgroup {
>   ...
>   struct res_counter   memory_limit.
>   struct res_counter   swap_limit.
>   ..

I agree with this - main memory and swap memory are rather different
kinds of resources, with very different performance characteristics.
It should be possible to control them completely independently (e.g.
this job gets 100M of main memory, and doesn't swap at all).

Paul

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers