

---

Subject: Re: [RFC/PATCH] cgroup swap subsystem  
Posted by [Hugh Dickins](#) on Wed, 05 Mar 2008 14:07:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 5 Mar 2008, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Daisuke Nishimura wrote:

> >

> > Todo:

> > - rebase new kernel, and split into some patches.

> > - Merge with memory subsystem (if it would be better), or

> > remove dependency on CONFIG\_CGROUP\_MEM\_CONT if possible

> > (needs to make page\_cgroup more generic one).

>

> Merge is a must IMHO. I can hardly imagine a situation in which

> someone would need these two separately.

Strongly agree. Nobody's interested in swap as such: it's just secondary memory, where RAM is primary memory. People want to control memory as the sum of the two; and I expect they may also want to control primary memory (all that the current memcg does) within that. I wonder if such nesting of limits fits easily into cgroups or will be problematic.

Hugh

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---