Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/1]a new optional function for task assignment to cgroup

Posted by Dhaval Giani on Wed, 05 Mar 2008 07:13:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Tue, Mar 04, 2008 at 09:56:13PM -0800, Paul Menage wrote:
> Hi Kazunaga.
> On Tue, Mar 4, 2008 at 9:39 PM, Kazunaga Ikeno <k-ikeno@ak.jp.nec.com> wrote:
> > Hi -
> >
>> This is a patch of a new optional function for task assignment to cgroup, RFC.
> >
>> To provide the function that leads a task, corresponding to the conditions specified
>> beforehand, to a specific cgroup directory.
> >
> This is something that's been discussed before, originally as part of
> CKRM with a complex rule engine in the kernel space.
> Basically, the general agreement was that it's a case where a simple
> API is going to be too simple for the majority of users, and a complex
> API that satisfies everyone is going to be too messy/heavyweight.
> This is something that can be done in a userspace daemon via the
> process events connector - when you get a PROC_EVENT_UID event, you
> can move the process into the appropriate cgroup (you may also need to
> check any recently-forked children). This also gives you more
> flexibility than you can have in the kernel - you can base your
> decision on more complex factors than simply the uid of the process.
> Dhaval Giani had a prototype implementation of such a daemon.
>
The daemon was posted at
http://article.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/553267. At that point
control groups were called containers. These corrections will have to
made for it to run.
```

Page 1 of 2 ---- Generated from

somewhere.

Thanks,

If I can get the time, I will clean it up and try to put it up

regards, Dhaval

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers