
Subject: Re: Re: network namespace ipv6 perfs
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 14:55:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Benjamin They wrote:

> Daniel Lezcano wrote:

>> Hi,

>>

>> Some performance tests was made by Benjamin to watch out the impact of
>> the network namespace. The good news is there is no impact when used
>> with or without namespaces. That has been checked using a real network
>> device inside a network namespace.

>>

>> These results are consistent with the ones previously made for ipv4.

>>

>> http://lxc.sourceforge.net/network/bench_ipv6_graph.php

>>

>> Thanks to Benjamin who did all the performance tests :)

>

> In these results, may be, there is one thing that should be explained.

> It is the CPU utilization overhead in the 'veth' case.

>

> Compared to physical devices or macvlan, veth interfaces don't benefit
> from hardware offloading mechanisms: i.e. checksums have to be computed
> by the soft. That explains the big overhead in CPU utilization when

You can tune the veth devices not to account checksum when unnecessary.

> using this kind of virtual interface.

>

> Benjamin

>

>> Regards

>> -- Daniel

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>
>>
>
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
