
Subject: Re: [RFC] Prefixing cgroup generic control filenames with "cgroup."

Posted by [Li Zefan](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 07:23:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Xpl++ wrote:

> Paul Menage ??????:

>> ...

>>

>> A compromise might be to keep "tasks" unprefixd, and say that future
>> names get the "cgroup." prefix; in this case I'd be inclined to add
>> the prefix to notify_on_release and release_agent on the grounds that
>> there's much less chance of breaking anyone with those files since (I
>> suspect) they're much less used.

>>

> This makes most sense to me. It won't break any existing software
> (most likely) while it seems reasonable to leave 'tasks' unprefixd as
> this is something that any software using any subsystem of cgroup
> would be using anyway and it is not that much associated with a
> particular subsystem.

>

And it makes most sense to me too, though I still doubt name collision
will be a problem.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
