

---

Subject: Re: [RFC] Prefixing cgroup generic control filenames with "cgroup."  
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Thu, 28 Feb 2008 22:06:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, Feb 28, 2008 at 1:40 PM, Andrew Morton  
<akpm@linux-foundation.org> wrote:

>  
> Maybe cgroups shouldn't be putting kernel-generated files in places where  
> user-specified files appear?  
>

Well, that API (mixing control files and group directories in the same directory namespace) was inherited directly from cpusets.

It wouldn't be hard to throw that away and move all the user-created group directories into their own subdirectory, i.e. change the existing directory layout from something like:

```
/mnt/cgroup/  
tasks  
cpu.shares  
memory.limit_in_bytes  
memory.usage_in_bytes  
user_created_groupname1/  
    tasks  
    cpu.shares  
    memory.limit_in_bytes  
    memory.usage_in_bytes  
user_created_groupname2/  
    tasks  
    cpu.shares  
    memory.limit_in_bytes  
    memory.usage_in_bytes
```

to something like:

```
/mnt/cgroup/  
tasks  
cpu.shares  
memory.limit_in_bytes  
memory.usage_in_bytes  
groups/  
    user_created_groupname1/  
        tasks  
        cpu.shares  
        memory.limit_in_bytes  
        memory.usage_in_bytes  
        groups/
```

```
user_created_groupname2/  
tasks  
cpu.shares  
memory.limit_in_bytes  
memory.usage_in_bytes  
groups/
```

That would completely solve the namespace problem, at the cost of a little extra verbosity/inelegance for human users (I suspect programmatic users would prefer it), and lack of compatibility with 2.6.24. I'd also need to make the existing model a mount option so that we could keep compatibility with the cpusets filesystem API.

> (Am still thrashing around a bit here without an overview of the overall  
> layout and naming).

Pretty much the same as cpusets, other than the additional kernel-generated files in each directory, as provided by the resource subsystems. So the same potential problem faced cpusets, but the fact that new cpuset features weren't being developed quickly meant the problem was less likely to actually bite people.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---