
Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem
Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2008 08:41:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 2008-02-22 at 07:25 +0100, Nadia Derby wrote:

> Subrata Modak wrote:

> >>Nadia Derby wrote:

> >>

> >>>Matt Helsley wrote:

> >>>

> >>>

> >>>>On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 18:16 +0100, Nadia Derby wrote:

> >>>>

> >>>><snip>

> >>>>

> >>>>>+`#define MAX_MSGQUEUES 16` /* MSGMNI as defined in linux/msg.h */

> >>>>>+

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>It's not quite the maximum anymore, is it? More like the minumum

> >>>>maximum ;). A better name might better document what the test is

> >>>>actually trying to do.

> >>>>

> >>>>One question I have is whether the unpatched test is still valuable.

> >>>>Based on my limited knowledge of the test I suspect it's still a correct

> >>>>test of message queues. If so, perhaps renaming the old test (so it's

> >>>>not confused with a performance regression) and adding your patched

> >>>>version is best?

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>So, here's the new patch based on Matt's points.

> >>>>

> >>>>Subrata, it has to be applied on top of the original ltp-full-20080131.

> >>>>Please tell me if you'd prefer one based on the merged version you've

> >>>>got (i.e. with my Tuesday patch applied).

> >

> >

> > Nadia, I would prefer Patch on the top of the already merged version (on

> > top of latest CVS snapshot as of today). Anyways, thanks for all these

> > effort :-)

> >

> > --Subrata

> >

>

> In attachment, you'll find a patch to apply on top of the patches I sent

> you on Tuesday.

Nadia,

Thanks a ton for that. The same has been merged.

Regards--
Subrata

>
> Regards,
> Nadia

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
