Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem Posted by Subrata Modak on Fri, 22 Feb 2008 08:41:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Fri, 2008-02-22 at 07:25 +0100, Nadia Derbey wrote:
> Subrata Modak wrote:
> >> Nadia Derbey wrote:
> >>
>>>Matt Helsley wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
>>>>On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 18:16 +0100, Nadia Derbey wrote:
> >>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>+#define MAX_MSGQUEUES 16 /* MSGMNI as defined in linux/msg.h */
>>>>+
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's not quite the maximum anymore, is it? More like the minumum
>>>>maximum;). A better name might better document what the test is
>>>>actually trying to do.
> >>>
>>>>One question I have is whether the unpatched test is still valuable.
>>>>Based on my limited knowledge of the test I suspect it's still a correct
>>>>test of message queues. If so, perhaps renaming the old test (so it's
>>>>not confused with a performance regression) and adding your patched
>>>>version is best?
> >>>
> >>>
>>>So, here's the new patch based on Matt's points.
> >>>
>>>Subrata, it has to be applied on top of the original ltp-full-20080131.
>>>>Please tell me if you'd prefer one based on the merged version you've
>>>got (i.e. with my Tuesday patch applied).
> >
> >
>> Nadia, I would prefer Patch on the top of the already merged version (on
> > top of latest CVS snapshot as of today). Anyways, thanks for all these
> > effort :-)
> > --Subrata
> >
> In attachment, you'll find a patch to apply on top of the patches I sent
> you on Tuesday.
```

N	a	a	ıa	

Thanks a ton for that. The same has been merged.

Regards--Subrata

- >
- > Regards,
- > Nadia

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers