
Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem
Posted by [Nadia Derby](#) on Fri, 22 Feb 2008 06:25:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Subrata Modak wrote:

>>Nadia Derby wrote:

>>

>>>Matt Helsley wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>>On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 18:16 +0100, Nadia Derby wrote:

>>>>

>>>><snip>

>>>>

>>>>>+`#define MAX_MSGQUEUES 16 /* MSGMNI as defined in linux/msg.h */`

>>>>>+

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>It's not quite the maximum anymore, is it? More like the minumum

>>>>maximum ;). A better name might better document what the test is

>>>>actually trying to do.

>>>>

>>>>One question I have is whether the unpatched test is still valuable.

>>>>Based on my limited knowledge of the test I suspect it's still a correct

>>>>test of message queues. If so, perhaps renaming the old test (so it's

>>>>not confused with a performance regression) and adding your patched

>>>>version is best?

>>>>

>>>

>>>So, here's the new patch based on Matt's points.

>>>

>>>Subrata, it has to be applied on top of the original ltp-full-20080131.

>>>Please tell me if you'd prefer one based on the merged version you've

>>>got (i.e. with my Tuesday patch applied).

>

>

> Nadia, I would prefer Patch on the top of the already merged version (on

> top of latest CVS snapshot as of today). Anyways, thanks for all these

> effort :-)

>

> --Subrata

>

In attachment, you'll find a patch to apply on top of the patches I sent you on Tuesday.

Regards,

Nadia

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
