Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 4/5] utsname namespaces: sysctl hack Posted by serue on Wed, 19 Apr 2006 20:24:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com): > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes: > > > Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com): > >> Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes: > >> >>>> Serge, > >> > >>>> can we do nothing with sysctls at this moment, instead of commiting hacks? > >> >> Except that we modify a static table changing the uts behaviour in > >> proc_doutsstring isn't all that bad. > >> > >> I'm just about to start on something more comprehensive, in > >> the sysctl case. > > > So assuming that I take out the switch(), leaving that for a better > > solution by Eric (or Dave, or whoever), > > > > Is it time to ask for the utsname namespace patch to be tried out > > in -mm? > > Can we please suggest a syscall interface?

We can, but I was hoping that would be a separate patch, separate discussion.

Are you asking for a new syscall, specifically to unshare utsname()? Or for discussion over whether we want to do one syscall per namespace extend CLONE_NEWns flags use unshare use namespacefs

-serge