
Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem
Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2008 13:39:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Nadia Derby wrote:
> > Matt Helsley wrote:
> >
> >> On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 18:16 +0100, Nadia Derby wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >>
> >>> +#define MAX_MSGQUEUEES 16 /* MSGMNI as defined in linux/msg.h */
> >>> +
> >>>
> >>>
> >> It's not quite the maximum anymore, is it? More like the minumum
> >> maximum ;). A better name might better document what the test is
> >> actually trying to do.
> >>
> >> One question I have is whether the unpatched test is still valuable.
> >> Based on my limited knowledge of the test I suspect it's still a correct
> >> test of message queues. If so, perhaps renaming the old test (so it's
> >> not confused with a performance regression) and adding your patched
> >> version is best?
> >>
> >
> > So, here's the new patch based on Matt's points.
> >
> > Subrata, it has to be applied on top of the original ltp-full-20080131.
> > Please tell me if you'd prefer one based on the merged version you've
> > got (i.e. with my Tuesday patch applied).

Nadia, I would prefer Patch on the top of the already merged version (on
top of latest CVS snapshot as of today). Anyways, thanks for all these
effort :-)

--Subrata

> >
>
> Forgot the patch, sorry for that (thx Andrew).
>
> Regards,
> Nadia
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
