
Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 1/8] Scaling msgmni to the amount of lowmem
Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Wed, 20 Feb 2008 09:44:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Subrata Modak wrote:
> >>Nadia Derby wrote:
> >>
> >>>Andrew Morton wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On Mon, 11 Feb 2008 15:16:47 +0100 Nadia.Derbey@bull.net wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>[PATCH 01/08]
> >>>>>
> >>>>>This patch computes msg_ctlmni to make it scale with the amount of
> >>>>>lowmem.
> >>>>>msg_ctlmni is now set to make the message queues occupy 1/32 of the
> >>>>>available
> >>>>>lowmem.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Some cleaning has also been done for the MSGPOOL constant: the msgctl
> >>>>>man page
> >>>>>says it's not used, but it also defines it as a size in bytes (the code
> >>>>>expresses it in Kbytes).
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Something's wrong here. Running LTP's msgctl08 (specifically:
> >>>>>ltp-full-20070228) cripples the machine. It's a 4-way 4GB x86_64.
> >>>>>
> >>>>><http://userweb.kernel.org/~akpm/config-x.txt>
> >>>>><http://userweb.kernel.org/~akpm/dmesg-x.txt>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Normally msgctl08 will complete in a second or two. With this patch I
> >>>>>don't know how long it will take to complete, and the machine is horribly
> >>>>>bogged down. It does recover if you manage to kill msgctl08. Feels like
> >>>>>a terrible memory shortage, but there's plenty of memory free and it
> >>>>>isn't
> >>>>>swapping.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Before the patchset, msgctl08 used to be run with the old msgmni value:
> >>>>>16. Now it is run with a much higher msgmni value (1746 in my case),
> >>>>>since it scales to the memory size.

```

> >>>When I call "msgctl08 100000 16" it completes fast.
> >>>
> >>>Doing the follwing on the ref kernel:
> >>>echo 1746 > /proc/sys/kernel/msgmni
> >>>msgctl08 100000 1746
> >>>
> >>>makes th test block too :-(
> >>>
> >>>Will check to see where the problem comes from.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Well, actually, the test does not block, it only takes much much more
> >>time to be executed:
> >>
> >>doing this:
> >>date; ./msgctl08 100000 XXX; date
> >>
> >>
> >>gives us the following results:
> >>XXX      16 32 64 128 256 512 1024 1746
> >>time(secs)  2  4  8  16  32  64  132  241
> >>
> >>XXX is the # of msg queues to be created = # of processes to be forked
> >>as readers = # of processes to be created as writers
> >>time is approximative since it is obtained by a "date" before and after.
> >>
> >>XXX used to be 16 before the patchset ---> 1st column
> >>  --> 16 processes forked as reader
> >>  --> + 16 processes forked as writers
> >>  --> + 16 msg queues
> >>XXX = 1746 (on my victim) after the patchset ---> last column
> >>  --> 1746 reader processes forked
> >>  --> + 1746 writers forked
> >>  --> + 1746 msg queues created
> >>
> >>The same tests on the ref kernel give approximatly the same results.
> >>
> >>So if we don't want this longer time to appear as a regression, the LTP
> >>should be changed:
> >>1) either by setting the result of get_max_msgqueues() as the MSGMNI
> >>constant (16) (that would be the best solution in my mind)
> >>2) or by warning the tester that it may take a long time to finish.
> >>
> >>There would be 3 tests impacted:
> >>
> >>kernel/syscalls/ipc/msgctl/msgctl08.c
> >>kernel/syscalls/ipc/msgctl/msgctl09.c
> >>kernel/syscalls/ipc/msgget/msgget03.c

```

> >
> >
> > We will change the test case if need that be. Nadia, kindly send us the
> > patch set which will do the necessary changes.
> >
> > Regards--
> > Subrata
> >
>
> Subrata,
>
> You'll find the patch in attachment.
> FYI I didn't change msgget03.c since we need to get the actual max value
> in order to generate an error.

Thanks. The same has been Merged.

Regards--
Subrata

>
> Regards,
> Nadia
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
