
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 4/5] utsname namespaces: sysctl hack
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:54:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello !

Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> Serge,
>
>> Please look closer at the patch.
>> I *am* doing nothing with sysctls.
>>
>> system_utsname no longer exists, and the way to get to that is by using
>> init_uts_ns.name. That's all this does.
> Sorry for being not concrete enough.
> I mean switch () in the code. Until we decided how to virtualize
> sysctls/proc, I believe no dead code/hacks should be committed. IMHO.

How could we improve that hack ? Removing the modification of the static table can easily be worked around but getting rid of the switch() statement is more difficult. Any idea ?

> FYI, I strongly object against virtualizing sysctls this way as it is
> not flexible and is a real hack from my POV.

what is the issue with flexibility ?

thanks,

C.
