Subject: Re: [PATCH 6/17 net-2.6.26] [NETNS]: Default arp parameters lookup. Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Tue, 19 Feb 2008 15:16:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>> On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 10:51 +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>>> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>>>> On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 10:14 +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>>>> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>>>>> Default ARP parameters should be findable regardless of the context.
>>>>> Required to make inetdev event working.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>
>>>>> ---
>>>>> net/core/neighbour.c | 4 +---
>>>>> 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)
>>>>>>
>>>>> diff --git a/net/core/neighbour.c b/net/core/neighbour.c
>>>>> index c895ad4..45ed620 100644
>>>>> --- a/net/core/neighbour.c
>>>>> +++ b/net/core/neighbour.c
>>>>> @ @ -1275,9 +1275,7 @ @ static inline struct neigh_parms
>>>>> *lookup_neigh_params(struct neigh_table *tbl,
           struct neigh_parms *p;
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
           for (p = \&tbl > parms; p; p = p > next) {
>>>>>>
             if (p->net != net)
>>>>> -
                continue:
>>>>> -
             if ((p->dev && p->dev->ifindex == ifindex) ||
>>>>> -
              if ((p->dev && p->dev->ifindex == ifindex && p->net ==
>>>>> +
>>>>> net) ||
>>>>>>
                (!p->dev && !ifindex))
>>>>>>
                return p;
>>>>>>
           }
>>>> If the values are:
         p->dev == NULL
>>>>>
         if index == 0
>>>>>
         p->net != net
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> The parms should not be taken into account and the looping must
>>>> continue. But with this modification it is not the case, if we
>>>> specify parms if index == 0, the first parms with the dev field set
>>>> to NULL will be taken belonging or not to the right net.
>>>> They should be taken. In the other case inetdev event will fail for
>>>> sure
>>>> in the middle. You could check.
>>>>
```

>>> These are ARP defaults and I do not see a problem for now to get them.
>>> Because there is a parms default per namespace. So several instances
>> of them per nd table. That was the initial approach with Eric's
>> patchset.
>>

>>

>> These changes are not in mainstream and I do not want to touch ARP as
>> this is not a simple thing. In reality ARP will be needed only when
>> we'll have a real device inside a namespace.

>>

>> Right now I prefer to have minimal set of working changes to finish IP >> and upper layers.

>

> core/neighbour.c is a common part between several protocols, especially

> ipv4 and ipv6. If you modify this function just to fit your need in the

> arp that will block me for ipv6 until you make parms default per

> namespace. So please, find another way to do that, perhaps just add a

> helper function.

>

> I suggest you do parms default per namespace first, it is quite small > and easy :)

>

> Just let me the time to send the copy-parms-default function.

Ok, so after a long discussion with Denis about this patch, I will change the ipv6 code to share the neigh->parms. It is not a problem. Having the behavior of the neighbour subsystem per namespace is not a must-have.

Acked-by: Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com>

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum