
Subject: Re: [PATCH 6/17 net-2.6.26] [NETNS]: Default arp parameters lookup.
Posted by [den](#) on Tue, 19 Feb 2008 10:05:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 10:51 +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:

> Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> > On Tue, 2008-02-19 at 10:14 +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:

> >> Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> >>> Default ARP parameters should be findable regardless of the context.

> >>> Required to make inetdev_event working.

> >>>

> >>> Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>

> >>> ---

> >>> net/core/neighbour.c | 4 +---

> >>> 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)

> >>>

> >>> diff --git a/net/core/neighbour.c b/net/core/neighbour.c

> >>> index c895ad4..45ed620 100644

> >>> --- a/net/core/neighbour.c

> >>> +++ b/net/core/neighbour.c

> >>> @@ -1275,9 +1275,7 @@ static inline struct neigh_parms *lookup_neigh_parms(struct
neigh_table *tbl,

> >>> struct neigh_parms *p;

> >>>

> >>> for (p = &tbl->parms; p; p = p->next) {

> >>> - if (p->net != net)

> >>> - continue;

> >>> - if ((p->dev && p->dev->ifindex == ifindex) ||

> >>> + if ((p->dev && p->dev->ifindex == ifindex && p->net == net) ||

> >>> (!p->dev && !ifindex))

> >>> return p;

> >>> }

> >> If the values are:

> >> p->dev == NULL

> >> ifindex == 0

> >> p->net != net

> >>

> >> The parms should not be taken into account and the looping must

> >> continue. But with this modification it is not the case, if we specify

> >> parms ifindex == 0, the first parms with the dev field set to NULL will

> >> be taken belonging or not to the right net.

> >>

> >> They should be taken. In the other case inetdev_event will fail for sure

> >> in the middle. You could check.

> >>

> >> These are ARP defaults and I do not see a problem for now to get them.

>

> Because there is a parms default per namespace. So several instances of

> them per nd table. That was the initial approach with Eric's patchset.
>

These changes are not in mainstream and I do not want to touch ARP as this is not a simple thing. In reality ARP will be needed only when we'll have a real device inside a namespace.

Right now I prefer to have minimal set of working changes to finish IP and upper layers.

Regards,
Den
