Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/7] CGroup API: Add cgroup.api control file
Posted by Balbir Singh on Mon, 18 Feb 2008 10:32:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Li Zefan wrote:
> Paul Menage wrote:
>> On Feb 16, 2008 2:07 AM, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
>>> Paul Menage wrote:
>>>
>>> Hi, Paul,
>>>
>>> Do we need to use a cgroup.api file? Why not keep up to date documentation and
>>> get users to use that. I fear that, cgroup.api will not be kept up-to-date,
>>> leading to confusion.
>> The cgroup.api file isn't meant to give complete documentation for a
>> control file, simply a brief indication of its usage.
>>
>
> But we don't have /proc/proc.api or /sys/sysfs.api ...
>

Yes, doing that would make the size of the kernel go out of control.

>> The aim is that most bits of the information reported in cgroup.api
>> are auto-generated, so there shouldn't be problems with it getting
>> out-of-date.
>>
>> Is it just the space used by the documentation string that you're
>> objecting to? The other function of the file is to declare a type for
>> each variable.
>>

I like the documentation aspect, it is the space that I object to.

--
 Warm Regards,
 Balbir Singh
 Linux Technology Center
 IBM, ISTL

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers