Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/7] CGroup API: More structured API for CGroups control files

Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Sat, 16 Feb 2008 04:20:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 15 Feb 2008 12:44:18 -0800 Paul Menage <menage@google.com> wrote:

>

> This set of patches makes the Control Groups API more structured and > self-describing.

>

- > 1) Allows control files to be associated with data types such as
- > "u64", "string", "map", etc. These types show up in a new cgroup.api
- > file in each cgroup directory, along with a user-readable
- > string. Files that use cgroup-provided data accessors have these file
- > types inferred automatically.

>

- > 2) Moves various files in cpusets and the memory controller from using
- > custom-written file handlers to cgroup-defined handlers

>

- > 3) Adds the "cgroup." prefix for existing cgroup-provided control
- > files (tasks, release_agent, releasable, notify_on_release). Given
- > than we've already had 2.6.24 go out without this prefix, I guess this
- > could be a little contentious but it seems like a good move to
- > prevent name clashes in the future. (Note that this doesn't affect
- > mounting the legacy cpuset filesystem, since the compatibility layer
- > disables all prefixes when mounted with filesystem type "cpuset"). If
- > people object too strongly, we could just make this the case for *new*
- > cgroup API files, but I think this is a case where consistency would
- > be better than compatibility I'd be surprised if anyone has written
- > major legacy apps yet that rely on 2.6.24 cgroup control file names.

>

Hi, I like this direction very much. thank you for your work. Self-describing cgroup.api file is a good idea!

One request from me is add "mode" bit to cftype for allowing write-only/read-only files.

Thanks,

-Kame

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers