Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 3/4]: Enable multiple mounts of /dev/pts Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Thu, 14 Feb 2008 23:50:27 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Pavel Emelianov [xemul@openvz.org] wrote:
Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
 > Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):
 >> [snip]
 >>
 >>>> Mmm. I wanted to send one small objection to Cedric's patches with mgns,
 >>>> but the thread was abandoned by the time I decided to do-it-right-now.
 >>>>
 >>> So I can put it here: forcing the CLONE_NEWNS is not very good, since
 >>>> this makes impossible to push a bind mount inside a new namespace, which
 >>>> may operate in some chroot environment. But this ability is heavily
 >>> Which direction do you want to go? I'm wondering whether mounts
 >>> propagation can address it.
 >> Hardly. AFAIS there's no way to let the chroot-ed tasks see parts of
 >> vfs tree, that left behind them after chroot, unless they are in the
 >> same mntns as you, and you bind mount this parts to their tree. No?
 > Well no, but I suspect I'm just not understanding what you want to do.
 > But if the chroot is under /jail1, and you've done, say,
 >
 > mkdir -p /share/pts
 > mkdir -p /jail1/share
 > mount --bind /share /share
 > mount --make-shared /share
 > mount --bind /share /jail1/share
 > mount --make-slave /jail1/share
 > before the chroot-ed tasks were cloned with CLONE_NEWNS, then when you
 > do
 > mount --bind /dev/pts /share/pts
 >
 > from the parent mntns (not that I know why you'd want to do *that* :)
 > then the chroot'ed tasks will see the original mntns's /dev/pts under
 > /jail1/share.
 I haven't yet tried that, but : (this function
 static inline int check_mnt(struct vfsmount *mnt)
 {
      return mnt->mnt_ns == current->nsproxy->mnt_ns;
 }
 and this code in do loopback
```

if (!check_mnt(nd->mnt) || !check_mnt(old_nd.mnt)) goto out; makes me think that trying to bind a mount from another mntns ot _to_ another is prohibited... Do I miss something? >>> Though really, I think you're right - we shouldn't break the kernel >>> doing CLONE NEWMQ or CLONE NEWPTS without CLONE NEWNS, so we shouldn't >>> force the combination. >>> >>> exploited in OpenVZ, so if we can somehow avoid forcing the NEWNS flag >>>> that would be very very good :) See my next comment about this issue. >>>> >>>> Pavel, not long ago you said you were starting to look at tty and pty >>>> stuff - did you have any different ideas on devpts virtualization, or >>>> are you ok with this minus your comments thus far? >>>> I have a similar idea of how to implement this, but I didn't thought >>> about the details. As far as this issue is concerned, I see no reasons >>>> why we need a kern mount-ed devtpsfs instance. If we don't make such, >>>> we may safely hold the ptsns from the superblock and be happy. The >>>> same seems applicable to the mgns, no? >>> But the current->nsproxy->devpts->mnt is used in several functions in >>> patch 3. >> Indeed. I overlooked this. Then we're in a deep ... problem here. >> >> Breaking this circle was not that easy with pid namespaces, so >> I put the strut in proc flush task - when the last task from the >> namespace exits the kern-mount-ed vfsmnt is dropped, but we can't >> do the same stuff with devpts. > But I still don't see what the problem is with my proposal? So long as > you agree that if there are no tasks remaining in the devptsns, > then any task which has its devpts mounted should see an empty directory > (due to sb->s_info being NULL), I think it works. Well, if we _do_ can handle the races with ns->devpts_mnt switch from not NULL to NULL, then I'm fine with this approach. I just remember, that with pid namespaces this caused a complicated locking and performance degradation. This is the problem I couldn't remember yesterday.

Well, iirc, one problem with pid namespaces was that we need to keep the task and pid_namespace association until the task was waited on (for instance the wait() call from parent needs the pid_t of the child which is tied to the pid ns in struct upid). For this reason, we don't drop the mnt reference in free_pid_ns() but hold the reference till proc_flush_task().

With devpts, can't we simply drop the reference in free_pts_ns() so that when the last task using the pts_ns exits, we can unmount and release the mnt?

IOW, do you suspect that the circular reference leads to leaking vfsmnts?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers