

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 8/8] Re-enable msgmni automatic recomputing msgmni if set to negative

Posted by [Nadia Derbey](#) on Tue, 12 Feb 2008 11:38:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply To Message](#)

---

Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Feb 2008 15:16:54 +0100

> Nadia.Derbey@bull.net wrote:

>

>

>>[PATCH 08/08]

>>

>>This patch is the enhancement as asked for by Yasunori: if msgmni is set to  
>>a negative value, register it back into the ipcns notifier chain.

>>

>>A new interface has been added to the notification mechanism:

>>notifier\_chain\_cond\_register() registers a notifier block only if not already  
>>registered. With that new interface we avoid taking care of the states changes  
>>in procfs.

>>

>>...

>>

>> static int proc\_ipc\_callback\_dointvec(ctl\_table \*table, int write,  
>> struct file \*filp, void \_\_user \*buffer, size\_t \*lenp, loff\_t \*ppos)

>> {

>>+ struct ctl\_table ipc\_table;

>> size\_t lenp\_bef = \*lenp;

>> int rc;

>>

>>- rc = proc\_ipc\_dointvec(table, write, filp, buffer, lenp, ppos);

>>+ memcpy(&ipc\_table, table, sizeof(ipc\_table));

>>+ ipc\_table.data = get\_ipc(table);

>>+

>>+ rc = proc\_dointvec(&ipc\_table, write, filp, buffer, lenp, ppos);

>>

>> if (write && !rc && lenp\_bef == \*lenp)

>>- /\*

>>- \* Tunable has successfully been changed from userland:

>>- \* disable its automatic recomputing.

>>- \*/

>>- unregister\_ipcns\_notifier(current->nsproxy->ipc\_ns);

>>+ tunable\_set\_callback(\*((int \*) (ipc\_table.data))));

>>

>> return rc;

>> }

>>@@ -119,12 +142,14 @@ static int sysctl\_ipc\_registered\_data(ct

>> rc = sysctl\_ipc\_data(table, name, nlen, oldval, oldlenp, newval,

>> newlen);

```

>>
>>- if (newval && newlen && rc > 0)
>>+ if (newval && newlen && rc > 0) {
>>  /*
>>-  * Tunable has successfully been changed from userland:
>>-  * disable its automatic recomputing.
>>+  * Tunable has successfully been changed from userland
>>  */
>>- unregister_ipcns_notifier(current->nsproxy->ipc_ns);
>>+ int *data = get_ipc(table);
>>+
>>+ tunable_set_callback(*data);
>>+
>>
>> return rc;
>> }
>
>
> hm, what's happening here? We take a local copy of the caller's ctl_table
> and then pass that into proc_dointvec(). Is that as hacky as it seems??
>
>

```

Well, the caller's `ctl_table` contains the tunables addresses for the init namespace in its `.data` fields. While what needs to be passed in to `proc_dointvec()` is the tunable address in the caller's namespace. Since all the fields in `ipc_kern_table[]` are ok but the `.data` one, imho it's correct to store it in a local copy and change the data field to the appropriate one, before passing it to `proc_dointvec()`.

Regards,  
Nadia

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---