
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] extend clone_flags using parent_tidptr argument
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 08:20:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Mon, 4 Feb 2008 14:24:16 -0600
> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:
>
>> Quoting Cedric Le Goater (legoater@free.fr):
>>> From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
>>>
>>> We have at least 2 patchsets requiring each a new clone flag and there it
>>> is, we've reached the limit, none are left.
>>> This patch uses the CLONE_DETACHED flag (unused) as a marker to extend the
>> Are we pretty sure that there is no legacy software out there which has
>> continued to specify CLONE_DETACHED since the kernel ignores it?
>
> Please see -mm's
> clone-prepare-to-recycle-clone_detached-and-clone_stopped.patch
>
> That patch has been cut back to only recycle CLONE_STOPPED because there
> indeed was software out there which is setting CLONE_DETACHED.
>
> See <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-11/msg04293.html>

bummer, I used the wrong one :/

Thanks,

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
