Subject: Re: [PATCH 2.6.24-rc8-mm1 00/15] IPC: code rewrite + new functionalities Posted by Pavel Machek on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 19:51:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Hi!
```

```
>>> As the namespaces and the "containers" are being integrated in the
>>> kernel, these functionalities may be a first step to implement the
>>> checkpoint/restart of an application: in fact the existing API does not allow
>>> to specify or to change an ID when creating an IPC, when restarting an
>>> application, and the times/pids values of each IPCs are also altered. May be
>>> someone may find another interest about this?
>>>
>>> So again, comments are welcome.
>> Checkpoint/restart is nice, but... sysV ipc is broken by design, do we
>> really want to extend it?
> For my personal culture, what do you mean by "broken by design"?
    int shmget(key_t key, size_t size, int shmflg);
....so how do you produce key in a way that is guaranteed not to
interfere with other uses?
    Pavel
(english) http://www.livejournal.com/~pavelmachek
(cesky, pictures) http://atrey.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~pavel/picture/horses/blog.html
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```