
Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH 2.6.24-rc8-mm1 09/15] (RFC) IPC: new kernel API to change an ID

Posted by [Daniel Lezcano](#) on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 15:00:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>> Hello Kirill !

>>>

>>> Kirill Korotaev wrote:

>>>> Pierre,

>>>>

>>>> my point is that after you've added interface "set IPCID", you'll need

>>>> more and more for checkpointing:

>>>> - "create/setup conntrack" (otherwise connections get dropped),

>>>> - "set task start time" (needed for Oracle checkpointing BTW),

>>>> - "set some statistics counters (e.g. networking or taskstats)"

>>>> - "restore inotify"

>>>> and so on and so forth.

>>> right. we know that we will have to handle a lot of these

>>> and more and we will need an API for it :) so how should we handle it ?

>>> through a dedicated syscall that would be able to checkpoint and/or

>>> restart a process, an ipc object, an ipc namespace, a full container ?

>>> will it take a fd or a big binary blob ?

>>> I personally really liked Pavel idea's of filesystem. but we dropped the

>>> thread.

>> Imho having a file system interface means having all its problems.

>> Imagine you have some information about tasks exported with a file system interface.

>> Obviously to collect the information you have to hold some spinlock like tasklist_lock or similar.

>> Obviously, you have to drop the lock between sys_read() syscalls.

>> So interface gets much more complicated - you have to rescan the objects and somehow find the place where

>> you stopped previous read. Or you have to force reader to read everything at once.

>

> To remember the place when we stopped previous read we have a "pos" counter

> on the struct file.

>

> Actually, tar utility, that I propose to perform the most simple migration

> reads the directory contents with 4Kb buffer - that's enough for ~500 tasks.

>

> Besides, is this a real problem for a frozen container?

I like the idea of a C/R filesystem. Does it implies a specific user

space program to orchestrate the checkpoint/restart of the different

subsystems ? I mean the checkpoint is easy but what about the restart ?

We must ensure, for example to restore a process before restoring the fd

associated to it, or restore a deleted file before restoring the fd

opened to it, no ?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
