
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2.6.24-rc8-mm1 05/15] IPC/semaphores: remove one unused parameter from semctl_down()

Posted by [Nadia Derby](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 08:32:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

pierre.peiffer@bull.net wrote:

> From: Pierre Peiffer <pierre.peiffer@bull.net>

>

> semctl_down() takes one unused parameter: semnum.

> This patch proposes to get rid of it.

>

> Signed-off-by: Pierre Peiffer <pierre.peiffer@bull.net>

> Acked-by: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

> ---

> ipc/sem.c | 6 +++---

> 1 file changed, 3 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)

>

> Index: b/ipc/sem.c

> =====

> --- a/ipc/sem.c

> +++ b/ipc/sem.c

> @@ -882,8 +882,8 @@ static inline unsigned long copy_sem_id_f

> * to be held in write mode.

> * NOTE: no locks must be held, the rw_mutex is taken inside this function.

> */

> -static int semctl_down(struct ipc_namespace *ns, int semid, int semnum,

> - int cmd, int version, union semun arg)

> +static int semctl_down(struct ipc_namespace *ns, int semid,

> + int cmd, int version, union semun arg)

> {

> struct sem_array *sma;

> int err;

> @@ -974,7 +974,7 @@ asmlinkage long sys_semctl (int semid, i

> return err;

> case IPC_RMID:

> case IPC_SET:

> - err = semctl_down(ns, semid, semnum, cmd, version, arg);

> + err = semctl_down(ns, semid, cmd, version, arg);

> return err;

> default:

> return -EINVAL;

>

Looks like semnum is only used in semctl_main(). Why not removing it from semctl_nolock() too?

Regards,
Nadia

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
