Subject: Re: [PATCH 2.6.24-rc8-mm1 09/15] (RFC) IPC: new kernel API to change an ID

Posted by Pierre Peiffer on Wed, 30 Jan 2008 09:52:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alexey Dobriyan wrote:

- > On Tue, Jan 29, 2008 at 05:02:38PM +0100, pierre.peiffer@bull.net wrote:
- >> This patch provides three new API to change the ID of an existing
- >> System V IPCs.

>>

- >> These APIs are:
- >> long msg_chid(struct ipc_namespace *ns, int id, int newid);
- >> long sem_chid(struct ipc_namespace *ns, int id, int newid);
- >> long shm_chid(struct ipc_namespace *ns, int id, int newid);

>>

>> They return 0 or an error code in case of failure.

>>

- >> They may be useful for setting a specific ID for an IPC when preparing
- >> a restart operation.

>>

- >> To be successful, the following rules must be respected:
- >> the IPC exists (of course...)
- >> the new ID must satisfy the ID computation rule.
- >> the entry in the idr corresponding to the new ID must be free.

>

- >> ipc/util.h | 1 +
- >> 8 files changed, 197 insertions(+)

>

- > For the record, OpenVZ uses "create with predefined ID" method which
- > leads to less code. For example, change at the end is all we want from
- > ipc/util.c .

>

Yes, indeed, I saw that. The idea here is, at the end, to propose a more "userspace oriented" solution.

As we can't use msgget(), etc, API to specify an ID, I think we can at least change it afterwards

- > Also, if ids were A and B at the moment of checkpoint, and during
- > restart they became B and A you'll get collision in both ways which you
- > techically can avoid by classic "tmp = A, A = B, B = tmp"

In the general case, yes, you're right.

In the case of the checkpoint/restart, this is not necessarily a problem, as we will probably restart an application in an empty "container"/"namespace"; Thus we can create all needed IPCs in an empty IPC namespace like this:

1. create first IPC

- 2. change its ID
- 3. create the second IPC
- 4. change its ID
- 5. etc..

But yes, I agree that if we can directly create an IPC with the right ID, it would be better; may be with an IPC_CREATE command or something like that if the direction is to do that from userspace.

Pierre Peiffer

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers