Subject: dm-band: The I/O bandwidth controller: Performance Report Posted by Ryo Tsuruta on Fri, 25 Jan 2008 07:07:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Now I report the result of dm-band bandwidth control test I did yesterday. I've got really good results that dm-band works as I expected. I made several band-groups on several disk partitions and gave them heavy I/O loads.

Hardware Spec.

=========

DELL Dimention E521:

Linux kappa.local.valinux.co.jp 2.6.23.14 #1 SMP

Thu Jan 24 17:24:59 JST 2008 i686 athlon i386 GNU/Linux

Detected 2004.217 MHz processor.

CPU0: AMD Athlon(tm) 64 X2 Dual Core Processor 3800+ stepping 02

Memory: 966240k/981888k available (2102k kernel code, 14932k reserved,

890k data, 216k init, 64384k highmem)

scsi 2:0:0:0: Direct-Access ATA ST3250620AS 3.AA PQ: 0 ANSI: 5

sd 2:0:0:0: [sdb] 488397168 512-byte hardware sectors (250059 MB)

sd 2:0:0:0: [sdb] Write Protect is off

sd 2:0:0:0: [sdb] Mode Sense: 00 3a 00 00

sd 2:0:0:0: [sdb] Write cache: enabled, read cache: enabled,

doesn't support DPO or FUA

sdb: sdb1 sdb2 < sdb5 sdb6 sdb7 sdb8 sdb9 sdb10 sdb11 sdb12 sdb13 sdb14 sdb15 >

The results of bandwidth control test on partitions

The configurations of the test #1:

- o Prepare three partitions sdb5, sdb6 and sdb7.
- o Give weights of 40, 20 and 10 to sdb5, sdb6 and sdb7 respectively.
- o Run 128 processes issuing random read/write direct I/O with 4KB data on each device at the same time.
- o Count up the number of I/Os and sectors which have done in 60 seconds.

The result of the test #1

| sdb5 | sdb6 | sdb7 device weight | 40 (57.0%) | 20 (29.0%) | 10 (14.0%) | ------I/Os (r/w) | 6640(3272/ 3368)| 3434(1719/ 1715)| 1689(857/ 832)| sectors (r/w) | 53120(26176/26944)| 27472(13752/13720)| 13512(6856/6656)| 56.4% | 29.2% | 14.4% ratio to total |

The configurations of the test #2:

o The configurations are the same as the test #1 except this test doesn't run any processes issuing I/Os on sdb6.

The result of the test #2

| ١ | device | sdb5 | sdb6 | sdb7 | |
|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| i | weight | 40 (57.0%) | 20 (29.0%) |) 10 (14.0 |)%) |
| i | + | - | - | · | , , |
| i | I/Os (r/w) | 9566(4815/ 47 | 51) 0(0/ | 0) 2370(11 | 98/1172) |
| i | | 76528(38520/3 | | | |
| j | ratio to total | 76.8% | 0.0% \ | 23.2% | Ì |

The results of bandwidth control test on band-groups.

The configurations of the test #3:

- o Prepare three partitions sdb5 and sdb6.
- o Create two extra band-groups on sdb5, the first is of user1 and the second is of user2.
- o Give weights of 40, 20, 10 and 10 to the user1 band-group, the user2 band-group, the default group of sdb5 and sdb6 respectively.
- o Run 128 processes issuing random read/write direct I/O with 4KB data on each device at the same time.
- o Count up the number of I/Os and sectors which have done in 60 seconds.

The result of the test #3

| dev + | sdb5 | sdb6 | |
|------------------|---|-------------------------|------------|
| usr wgt | , , , , , | 0%) 10 (12.5%) 10 (| 12.5%) |
| I/O 5 sec 4 | 951(2940/ 3011) 3068(7608(23520/24088) 2454 | + | , , |

The configurations of the test #4:

o The configurations are the same as the test #3 except this test doesn't run any processes issuing I/Os on the user2 band-group.

The result of the test #4

| dev | sdb5 | sdb6 |
|-----|------|------|
| + | | |

| | usr | user1 | ι | ıser2 | otr | ner users | all | users | | | |
|---|-----|-------------|-------|-----------|-----|-----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|-----|
| | wgt | 40 (50.0%) | | 20 (25.0% | %) | 10 (12. | 5%) | 10 (1 | 2.5%) | 1 | |
| | +- | | + | | + | + | | | | | |
| I | I/O | 8002(3963/4 | 4039) | 0(0/ | 0) | 2056(10 | 21/10 | 35) 200 | 08(998 | /1010) | |
| I | sec | 64016(31704 | /3231 | 2) 0(| 0/ | 0) 16448(| 8168 | /8280) 1 | 6064(7 | 7984/8080 |)(C |
| I | % | 66.3% | | 0.0% | 1 | 17.0% | · | 16.6% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Conclusions and future works

Dm-band works well with random I/Os. I have a plan on running some tests using various real applications such as databases or file servers. If you have any other good idea to test dm-band, please let me know.

Thank you, Ryo Tsuruta.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers