
Subject: Re: [PATCH] An attempt to have an unlimitedly extendable sys_clone
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 21 Jan 2008 10:36:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Pavel !

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>> Here's the unshare part if you want to fold that with your patch.

>

> Thanks, Cedric. I'll pick that up.

>

>> Thanks,

>

> OK, thanks everyone, I'll try to cook the final patch today

> and send it to Andrew as an RFC.

As Al said it, it's probably not the direction to follow to extend the clone flags :) But the problem being real, I think we need to insist.

First, we could simplify the API by using child_tidptr to pass a 64bits array of flags. This would still be a brutal syscall argument hijack but we can't do better without a new syscall.

Next, we could send the same code using a new syscall.

I have time to work on that.

C.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
