
Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report

Posted by [kir](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:08:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Guess we can have both. BOF format is a bit different (not too much time, more people), so better to have mini-summit first.

BTW does anybody knows whether the Kernel Summit will be "attached" to OLS this year?

Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> By the way, may be it is better to request a BOF rather than mini-summit
> to have a presentation of our work for a "outside" world...

>

> Regards,

> Den

>

> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>

>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>

>>> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>>>

>>>> Hi, guys.

>>>>

>>>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for

>>>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're

>>>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups

>>>> development status.

>>>>

>>>> I think it worth making this one.

>>>>

>>> OK. Let's work on an abstract.

>>>

>> Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be

>> responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can

>> take care of it ;)

>>

>>

>>>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.

>>>>

>>> Yes !

>>>

>> :)

>>

>>

>>> C.

>>>

>>>
>> Thanks,
>> Pavel
>>
>>
>
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
