
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] Extend sys_clone and sys_unshare system calls API
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 15:05:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jonathan Corbet wrote:

> Hi, Pavel,

>

> [Adding Ulrich]

>

>> I use the last bit in the clone_flags for CLONE_LONGARG. When set it
>> will denote that the child_tidptr is not a pointer to a tid storage,
>> but the pointer to the struct long_clone_struct which currently
>> looks like this:

>

> I'm probably just totally off the deep end, but something did occur to
> me: this looks an awful lot like a special version of the sys_indirect()
> idea. Unless it has been somehow decided that sys_indirect() is the
> wrong idea, might it not be better to look at making that interface
> solve the extended clone() problem as well?

We has such an idea, but as far as I know sys_indirect idea is not yet accomplished. So I risked to propose such an extension for sys_clone.

If Andrew says that sys_indirect is on its way to -mm tree, then we'll surely wait and use this one for namespaces.

> jon

>

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
