
Subject: Re: [PATCH] An attempt to have an unlimitedly extendable sys_clone
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 07:26:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[snip]

```
>> +static struct long_clone_arg *get_long_clone_arg(int __user
>> *child_tidptr)
>> +{
>> + int size;
>> + struct long_clone_arg *carg;
>> +
>> + if (get_user(size, child_tidptr))
>> + return ERR_PTR(-EFAULT);
>> +
>> + if (size > sizeof(struct long_clone_arg))
>> + return ERR_PTR(-EINVAL);
>> +
```

> This means that software built against a newer kernel won't work on an
> older one. Surely that's not intended?

It is intended. If I ask an old kernel to clone the mq namespace, but
it doesn't support such, that I'd better like to get an -EINVAL error
rather than be silently held in an old global namespace.

[snip]

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
