

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/4] The control group itself  
Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 18:17:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Paul Menage (menage@google.com):

> On Jan 15, 2008 9:49 AM, Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:  
> > > One other thought - should the parse/print routines themselves do a  
> > > translation based on the device mappings for the writer/reader's  
> > > cgroup? That way you could safely give a VE full permission to write  
> > > to its children's device maps, but it would only be able to add/remap  
> > > device targets that it could address itself.  
> >  
> > Oh, well if we do this then we can just as well use the translation  
> > functions to not allow a VE to add to its own set of devices, right?  
>  
> Right.  
>  
> >  
> > Then maybe capable(CAP\_NS\_OVERRIDE|CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN) would only be required  
> > to add devices.  
>  
> Or simply require that they be added by someone who already has access  
> to that device via their own control group? The root cgroup would have  
> access to all devices.

Where by 'have access' you mean access to create the device? That sounds good.

thanks,  
-serge

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---