
Subject: Re: Namespaces exhausted CLONE_XXX bits problem
Posted by [serue](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 16:32:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Cedric Le Goater (clg@fr.ibm.com):

> to be more precise :

>
> long sys_clone_something(struct clone_something_args args)
>
> and
>
> long sys_unshare_something(struct unshare_something_args args)
>
> The arg passing will be slower bc of the copy_from_user() but we will
> still have the sys_clone syscall for the fast path.
>
> C.

I'm fine with the direction you're going, but just as one more option,
we could follow more of the selinux/lsm approach of first requesting
clone/unshare options, then doing the actual clone/unshare. So
something like

sys_clone_request(extended_64bit_clone_flags)
sys_clone(usual args)

or

echo pid,mqueue,user,ipc,uts,net > /proc/self/clone_unshare
clone()

-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
