Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/4] Devices accessibility control group (v2) Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Sat, 12 Jan 2008 21:20:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pavel Emelianov [xemul@openvz.org] wrote:

The first version was posted long ago (http://openvz.org/pipermail/devel/2007-September/007647.html) and since then there are many (good I hope) changes:

- * Added the block devices support :) It turned out to be a bit simpler than the char one (or I missed something significant);
- * Now we can enable/disable not just individual devices, but the whole major with all its minors (see the TODO list beyond as well);
- * Added the ability to restrict the read/write permissions to devices, not just visible/invisible state.

That is - the main features I wished to implement right after the v1 was sent. Some minor changes are:

- * I merged the devices.char and devices.block files into one devices.permissions;
- * As the result of the change above the strings passed to this file has changed. Now they are

[bc] <major>:{<minor>|*} [r-][w-]

E.g. b 5:2 r- will grant the read permissions to the block 5:2 device and c 3:* -w will grant the write-only access to all the character devices with the major 5.

However, there are some things to be done:

- * Make the /proc/devices show relevant info depending on who is reading it. This seems to be easy to do, since I already have the support to dump similar info into the devices.permissions file, but I haven't tried to use this in /proc/devices yet;
- * Add the support for devices ranges. I.e. someone might wish to tell smth like b 5:[0-10] r- to this subsystem.

 Currently this is not supported and I'm afraid that if we start support minor ranges we'll have smth similar to VMA-s or FLOCK-s ranges management in one more place in the kernel.
- * One more question is are there any other permissions to work with? E.g. in OpenVZ we have a separate bit for quota management, maybe we can invent some more...

Currently I didn't pay much attention to split this set well,

so this will most likely won't work with git-bisect, but I think this is OK for now. I will sure split it better when I send the v3 and further.

The set is prepared against the 2.6.24-rc5-mm1.

All this is minimally tested and seems to work. Hope to hear you comments, wishes and patches soon:)

To play with it - run a standard procedure:

mount -t container none /cont/devs -o devices

This should be '-t cgroup'

```
# mkdir /cont/devs/0
# echo -n $$ > /cont/devs/0/tasks
```

and tune device permissions.

I started playing with this and noticed that even if I try to enable read access to device [c, 1:3] it also grants access to device [c, 1:5].

i.e the access restrictions seem to apply to all devices with a given major number. Is that really the intent?

Both devices accessible here:

 $^{\land}C$

Neither device accessible:

```
# echo $$ > /container/devs/0/tasks
# hexdump /dev/zero
hexdump: /dev/zero: No such device or address
hexdump: /dev/zero: Bad file descriptor
```

hexdump /dev/null

hexdump: /dev/null: No such device or address

hexdump: /dev/null: Bad file descriptor

Grant read access to /dev/null, but /dev/zero is also readable

echo c 1:3 r- > /container/devs/0/devices.permissions # hexdump /dev/null

hexdump /dev/zero

^C

Remove read access to /dev/null, but /dev/zero is also not readable.

echo c 1:3 -- > /container/devs/0/devices.permissions

hexdump /dev/zero

hexdump: /dev/zero: No such device or address

hexdump: /dev/zero: Bad file descriptor

BTW, a question about cgroups: If we 'echo \$\$ > /container/devs/0/tasks' is there a way to remove/undo it later (so that the process has access

as before)?

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers