
Subject: Re: [patch 5/9] unprivileged mounts: allow unprivileged bind mounts

Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Tue, 08 Jan 2008 19:15:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2008-01-08 at 20:08 +0100, Miklos Szeredi wrote:

>

> The logic behind EPERM, is that this failure is only for unprivileged
> callers. ENOMEM is too specifically about OOM. It could be changed
> to ENOSPC, ENFILE, EMFILE, or it could remain EPERM. What do others
> think?

Since you're patching mount anyway, maybe you could add a little pointer
for people to go check the sysctl if they get a certain error code back
from here.

-- Dave

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
