
Subject: Re: [PATCH net-2.6.25 1/3] Uninline the __inet_hash function
Posted by [Eric Dumazet](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2007 17:15:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Eric Dumazet wrote:

>>> Eric Dumazet wrote:

>>>> If you un-inline this (good idea), I am not sure we still need listen_possible
>>>> argument.

>>>>

>>>> It was usefull only to help compiler to zap dead code (since it was known at
>>>> compile time), now it only adds some extra test and argument passing.

>>> Hm... I've tried to address this issue and got worse result - minus

>>> 600 bytes (vs minus 725). So, what would be more preferable - get a

>>> smaller code with one extra 'if' or get a bit larger code without it?

>>>

>> Strange... What I meant is always assume listen_possible is true.

>

> That's not truth, if I get you right. The __inet_hash() is called

> with 0, from all the places except for the inet_hash() one.

OK, but on cases with 0, sk->sk_state is != TCP_LISTEN, unless I am mistaken.

>

>> The if (sk->sk_state == TCP_LISTEN) will finally see the truth.

>>

>> I did a test here on x86 gcc-4.2.2 and saved 32 bytes.

>>

>>

>>

>

> Thanks,

> Pavel

>

>