Subject: Re: [PATCH 8/9] signal: Drop signals before sending them to init. Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 13 Dec 2007 18:50:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> writes:

> OK, if we change the semantics for /sbin/init signals we can avoid > a lot of problems,

Yes. Otherwise we must track the source of the signals.

>> No. We should treat signals that we process for /sbin/init completely >> normally.

>

> ... including this one. I am not arguing.

>

- >> This gives /sbin/init completely normal signal handling if the signal is
- >> ever enqueued. Something trivial to implement and explain.

>

- > Well, I am not sure about "explain" though. Unless I missed something
- > this makes the semantics a bit special.

Well the semantics are a bit special for init period. I just make them special in a slightly different way.

> Suppose that init does sigtimedwait() but the handler == SIG_DFL.

Yes that is a bit surprising. However it is still easy to explain. The signal is never enqueued so sigtimedwait never gets the chance to do anything with it. Interestingly enough this is not a problem for the current sysvinit.

sysvinit does this at start up:

```
/*

* Ignore all signals.

*/

for(f = 1; f <= NSIG; f++)

SETSIG(sa, f, SIG_IGN, SA_RESTART);
```

So everything is initialized to SIG_IGN by userspace, in the common case. Which means none of this special case logic will actually kick in, except for SIGKILL and SIGSTOP. The signals we can't change.

Eric

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum