

---

Subject: [RFC] [PATCH -mm] oom\_kill: remove uid==0 checks

Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2007 21:18:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>From a5fd2d7c75168076dc6b4b94ea8cda529fc506b1 Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001

From: serue@us.ibm.com <serue@us.ibm.com>

Date: Wed, 5 Dec 2007 14:07:40 -0800

Subject: [RFC] [PATCH -mm] oom\_kill: remove uid==0 checks

Root processes are considered more important when out of memory and killing proceses. The check for CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN was augmented with a check for uid==0 or euid==0.

There are several possible ways to look at this:

1. uid comparisons are unnecessary, trust CAP\_SYS\_ADMIN alone. However CAP\_SYS\_RESOURCE is the one that really means "give me extra resources" so allow for that as well.
2. Any privileged code should be protected, but uid is not an indication of privilege. So we should check whether any capabilities are raised.
3. uid==0 makes processes on the host as well as in containers more important, so we should keep the existing checks.
4. uid==0 makes processes only on the host more important, even without any capabilities. So we should be keeping the (uid==0||euid==0) check but only when usersns==&init\_user\_ns.

I'm following number 1 here.

Andrew, I've cc:d you here bc in doing this patch I noticed that your 64-bit capabilities patch switched this code from an explicit check of cap\_t(p->cap\_effective) to using \_\_capable(). That means that now being glossed over by the oom killer means PF\_SUPERPRIV will be set. Is that intentional?

Signed-off-by: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

---

mm/oom\_kill.c | 2 +-  
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)

diff --git a/mm/oom\_kill.c b/mm/oom\_kill.c

index 016127e..9fd8d5d 100644

--- a/mm/oom\_kill.c

+++ b/mm/oom\_kill.c

@@ -128,7 +128,7 @@ unsigned long badness(struct task\_struct \*p, unsigned long uptime,  
\* Superuser processes are usually more important, so we make it

```
* less likely that we kill those.  
*/  
- if (__capable(p, CAP_SYS_ADMIN) || p->uid == 0 || p->euid == 0)  
+ if (__capable(p, CAP_SYS_ADMIN) || __capable(p, CAP_SYS_RESOURCE))  
  points /= 4;  
  
/*  
--  
1.5.1
```

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---