Subject: Re: [PATCH 8/9] signal: Drop signals before sending them to init.
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 12 Dec 2007 19:33:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):
>>
>> Currently init drops all signals including SIGKILL that are sent to it
>> that it does not ignore and does have a handler for. Extending this to
>
> Description is crucial in this patchset, so should the above not be:
>
> "does not have a handler for"

Yes. It should say "does not have a handler for." Instead of
"does have a handler for." Oops. I thought that was what I wrote!

>
> ?

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers