
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Pid namespaces vs locks interaction

Posted by [gblond](#) on Wed, 12 Dec 2007 16:07:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello

On 6 December 2007 18:51:30 Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> > fl_pid is used by nfs, fuse and gfs2. For instance nfs keeps in fl_pid
> > some unique id to identify locking process between hosts - it is not a
> > process pid.

>

> Ok, but so the struct user_flock->fl_pid is being set to the task's
> virtual pid, while the struct kernel_flock->fl_pid is being set to
> task->tgid for nfsd use.

>

> Why can't nfs just generate a uniqueid from the struct pid when it
> needs it?

I think it is hard. lockd uses struct nlm_host to get process unique id (see
__nlm_alloc_pid() function).

>

> Fuse just seems to copy the pid to report it to userspace, so it would
> just copy pid_vnr(kernel_flock->pid) into user_flock->fl_pid.

>

> Anyway I haven't looked at all the uses of struct fl_pid, but you
> can always get the pidnr back from the struct pid if needed so there
> should be no problem.

>

> The split definately seems worthwhile to me, so that
> user_flock->fl_pidnr can always be said to be the pid in the acting
> process' namespace, and flock->fl_pid can always be a struct pid,
> rather than having fl_pid sometimes be current->tgid, or sometimes
> pid_vnr(flock->fl_nspid)...

>

> -serge

> -

> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-fsdevel" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org

> More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

--

Thank,
Vitaliy Gusev

Containers mailing list

