## Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/5] uts namespaces: Introduction Posted by serue on Fri, 07 Apr 2006 19:28:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

- > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:
- >
- >> Introduce utsname namespaces. Instead of a single system\_utsname
- >> containing hostname domainname etc, a process can request it's
- >> copy of the uts info to be cloned. The data will be copied from
- > > it's original, but any further changes will not be seen by processes
- >> which are not it's children, and vice versa.

> >

- > > This is useful, for instance, for vserver/openvz, which can now clone
- > > a new uts namespace for each new virtual server.

> >

- > > This patchset is based on Kirill Korotaev's Mar 24 submission, taking
- >> comments (in particular from James Morris and Eric Biederman) into
- > > account.

> >

- >> Some performance results are attached. I was mainly curious whether
- > > it would be worth putting the task\_struct->uts\_ns pointer inside
- > > a #ifdef CONFIG\_UTS\_NS. The result show that leaving it in when
- >> CONFIG UTS NS=n has negligable performance impact, so that is the
- > > approach this patch takes.

>

> Ok. This looks like the best version so far.

>

- > I like the utsname() function thing to shorten the
- > idiom of current->uts\_ns->name.

>

- > We probably want to introduce utsname() and an init\_utsname()
- > before any of the other changes, and then perform the substitutions,

This is the same as what Sam is saying, right? Just making sure I understand.

- > before we actually change the code so the patchset can make it
- > through a git-bisect. This will also allows for something

Ok, I've finally got the rest of git doing my bidding, I'll go read up on git-bisect.

thanks for the comments, -serge