

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/5] uts namespaces: Introduction

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Fri, 07 Apr 2006 19:06:09 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Introduce utsname namespaces. Instead of a single system\_utsname  
> containing hostname domainname etc, a process can request it's  
> copy of the uts info to be cloned. The data will be copied from  
> it's original, but any further changes will not be seen by processes  
> which are not it's children, and vice versa.  
>  
> This is useful, for instance, for vserver/openvz, which can now clone  
> a new uts namespace for each new virtual server.  
>  
> This patchset is based on Kirill Korotaev's Mar 24 submission, taking  
> comments (in particular from James Morris and Eric Biederman) into  
> account.  
>  
> Some performance results are attached. I was mainly curious whether  
> it would be worth putting the task\_struct->uts\_ns pointer inside  
> a #ifdef CONFIG\_UTS\_NS. The result show that leaving it in when  
> CONFIG\_UTS\_NS=n has negligible performance impact, so that is the  
> approach this patch takes.

Ok. This looks like the best version so far.

I like the utsname() function thing to shorten the  
idiom of current->uts\_ns->name.

We probably want to introduce utsname() and an init\_utsname()  
before any of the other changes, and then perform the substitutions,  
before we actually change the code so the patchset can make it  
through a git-bisect. This will also allows for something  
that can be put in compat-mac.h for backports of anything that  
cares.

Eric

---