

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] pid: Extend/Fix pid\_vnr  
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 18:10:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

```
> Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com):  
>> Oleg Nesterov [oleg@tv-sign.ru] wrote:  
>> | On 12/05, Eric W. Biederman wrote:  
>> | >  
>> | > +pid_t pid_vnr(struct pid *pid)  
>> | > +{  
>> | > + return pid_nr_ns(pid, current->nsproxy->pid_ns);  
>> | > +}  
>>  
>> Hmm. current->nsproxy be NULL during process exit ?  
>> So this safe as long as pid_vnr() is not called after a  
>> process exits its namespaces. Probably no such callers atm.  
>  
> Yes I did a little audit for those this morning bc I frankly didn't  
> believe there weren't any. But I couldn't find any :)
```

Cool. The only case pid\_vnr would make sense in that context is if we were talking to user space after we had exited our namespaces. Which is at least a stretch.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---