```
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Quoting sukadev@us.ibm.com (sukadev@us.ibm.com):
> Oleg Nesterov [oleg@tv-sign.ru] wrote:
> | On 12/05, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> | >
> | > +pid_t pid_vnr(struct pid *pid)
> | > +{
> | > + return pid_nr_ns(pid, current->nsproxy->pid_ns);
> | > +}
>
> Hmm. current->nsproxy be NULL during process exit?
> So this safe as long as pid_vnr() is not called after a
> process exits its namespaces. Probably no such callers atm.
Yes I did a little audit for those this morning bc I frankly didn't
believe there weren't any. But I couldn't find any:)
-serge
> |
> | Excellent!!!
> |
> | This allows us to do many cleanups. I am sending the trivial patch just
> | as example.
>
> | Oleg.
>
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
> https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] pid: Extend/Fix pid_vnr

Posted by serue on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 01:57:27 GMT