

---

Subject: Re: namespace acceptance process. bad news

Posted by [den](#) on Wed, 05 Dec 2007 12:40:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Alexey Kuznetsov wrote:

> Hello!

>

>> Alexey seems to disagree with this approach, is it possible to elaborate

>> a little bit ?

>

> My first reaction was exactly the same as David's one. Exactly. :-)

>

> flowi structure was invented to be both easily initialized/disposed

> as a local variable and copied/stored in various caches as a key.

>

> If it has some reference inside, it becomes really ugly.

>

> But it is the first reaction. I guess you do not have much of choice.

> The only alternative is to add an additional argument to functions

> taking flowi, which is even uglier.

>

> So, it looks like netns still have to go to flowi, but functions copying

> flowi (in route.c/flow.c/whatever) should not use raw memcpy to store this

> and must remember that saving flowi is possible only when refcnt to netns

> is held somewhere.

flowi does not take the ref. You will not :)

Regards,

Den

---