
Subject: Re: namespace acceptance process. bad news
Posted by [Benjamin Thery](#) on Wed, 05 Dec 2007 12:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Daniel Lezcano wrote:

> Denis V. Lunev wrote:

>> Hello, All!

>>

>> We are completely bite to ground with the current Eric's patchset today

>> by Dave Miller. flowi tagging considered wrong. The same opinion has

>> been received from Alexey Kuznetsov :(

>>

>> So, it seems that we can't push this approach.

>

> Argh !

Re-Argh...

>> Daniel, Benjamin, should I merge your code to our git after this news or

>> we should stop a bit and think?

Um, on a pratical point of view, I think it could be good to merge the IPv6 patchset in your git, (if there aren't too much conflicts and if it doesn't take too long), to store it somewhere and be able to use it as a reference.

I also tend to think that we should think a bit more about the issue raised by Dave and try to find an alternative solution (if needed) before dropping the current model for handling netns.

Benjamin

>> We have talked on OLS that if Dave stop

>> us with current approach we could try global context as in OpenVz.

>

> IMHO, doing netns switching has no sense now we are so far in the netns > implementation.

>

>> I think I'll code this a bit and see a reaction, but we need to have

>> some agreement here :)

>

> I am more inclined to think about how to handle this problem before > doing anything.

>

> Let's try to understand why flowi tagging is considered wrong first.

>

> Alexey seems to disagree with this approach, is it possible to elaborate > a little bit ?

>
>
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
>

--
Benjamin Thery - BULL/DT/Open Software R&D

<http://www.bull.com>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
