

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][for -mm] memory controller enhancements for reclaiming take2 [0/8] introduction

Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Wed, 05 Dec 2007 00:44:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 04 Dec 2007 19:55:05 +0530

Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> KAMEZAWA-San, what happens if we use a little less aggressive set of  
> watermarks, something like

>

> 700/300

>

will test today. you mean low=300M, high=700M, limit=800M case ?

> Can we keep the defaults something close to what each zone uses?

> pages\_low, pages\_high and pages\_min.

>

After review of Pavel-san, "don't define \*default\* value" style is used here.

If we use default value, we'll have to detect "we should adjust high/low watermarks when the limit changes."

That will complicate things and may crash the system administrators policy.

It's not havey work to adjust high/low limit to sutitable value (which was defined against the workload by system admin) at setting limit.

Thanks,

-kame

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---