Subject: Re: [RFC][for -mm] memory controller enhancements for reclaiming take2 [0/8] introduction

Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Wed, 05 Dec 2007 00:44:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 04 Dec 2007 19:55:05 +0530

Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

- > KAMEZAWA-San, what happens if we use a little less aggressive set of
- > watermarks, something like

>

> 700/300

will test today, you mean low=300M, high=700M, limit=800M case?

- > Can we keep the defaults something close to what each zone uses?
- > pages\_low, pages\_high and pages\_min.

After review of Pavel-san, "don't define \*default\* value" style is used here. If we use default value, we'll have to detect "we should adjust high/low watermarks when the limit changes."

That will complicate things and may crash the system administrators policy. It's not havey work to adjust high/low limit to sutitable value (which was defined against the workload by system admin) at setting limit.

Thanks.

-kame

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers