

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] AB-BA deadlock in drop\_caches sysctl (resend, the one sent was for 2.6.18)

Posted by [den](#) on Tue, 04 Dec 2007 08:00:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Mon, 3 Dec 2007 16:52:47 +0300

> "Denis V. Lunev" <den@openvz.org> wrote:

>  
>> There is a AB-BA deadlock regarding drop\_caches sysctl. Here are the code  
>> paths:  
>>  
>> drop\_pagecache  
>> spin\_lock(&inode\_lock);  
>> invalidate\_mapping\_pages  
>> try\_to\_release\_page  
>> ext3\_releasepage  
>> journal\_try\_to\_free\_buffers  
>> \_\_journal\_try\_to\_free\_buffer  
>> spin\_lock(&journal->j\_list\_lock);  
>>  
>> \_\_journal\_temp\_unlink\_buffer (called under journal->j\_list\_lock by comments)  
>> mark\_buffer\_dirty  
>> \_\_set\_page\_dirty  
>> \_\_mark\_inode\_dirty  
>> spin\_lock(&inode\_lock);  
>>  
>> The patch tries to address the issue - it drops inode\_lock before digging into  
>> invalidate\_inode\_pages. This seems sane as inode hold should not gone from the  
>> list and should not change its place.  
>>  
>> Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>  
>> --  
>> diff --git a/fs/drop\_caches.c b/fs/drop\_caches.c  
>> index 59375ef..4ac80d8 100644  
>> --- a/fs/drop\_caches.c  
>> +++ b/fs/drop\_caches.c  
>> @@ -14,15 +14,27 @@ int sysctl\_drop\_caches;  
>>  
>> static void drop\_pagecache\_sb(struct super\_block \*sb)  
>> {  
>> - struct inode \*inode;  
>> + struct inode \*inode, \*old;  
>>  
>> + old = NULL;  
>> spin\_lock(&inode\_lock);  
>> list\_for\_each\_entry(inode, &sb->s\_inodes, i\_sb\_list) {  
>> if (inode->i\_state & (I\_FREEING|I\_WILL\_FREE))

```

>> continue;
>> - __invalidate_mapping_pages(inode->i_mapping, 0, -1, true);
>> + __iget(inode);
>> + spin_unlock(&inode_lock);
>> +
>> + if (old != NULL)
>> +   iput(old);
>> + invalidate_mapping_pages(inode->i_mapping, 0, -1);
>> + old = inode;
>> +
>> + spin_lock(&inode_lock);
>> }
>> spin_unlock(&inode_lock);
>> +
>> + if (old != NULL)
>> +   iput(old);
>> }
>
> We need to hold onto inode_lock while walking sb->s_inodes. Otherwise the
> inode which we're currently looking at could get removed from i_sb_list and
> bad things will happen (drop_pagecache_sb will go infinite, or will oops, I
> guess).

```

as far as I understand, there are the following place removing inode from i\_sb\_list:

- generic\_delete\_inode (via iput\_final)
- generic\_forget\_inode (via iput\_final)
- hugetlbfs\_forget\_inode
- dispose\_list after the check under inode\_lock for i\_count

So, the patch is sane from disappearing point of view:

- I hold inode under inode\_lock
- and iput it after new inode to clean has been found and hold

Nevertheless we'll think a bit about ext3 fix. Though other staff like gfs2 etc can also be affected.

Regards,  
Den

---