
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] namespaces: introduce sys_hijack (v10)

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 02:37:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Nelson <markn@au1.ibm.com> writes:

> Hi Paul and Eric,

>

> Do you guys have any objections to dropping the hijack_pid() and
> hijack_cgroup() parts of sys_hijack, leaving just hijack_ns() (see
> below for discussion)?

I need to step back and study what is being proposed.

My gut feeling is that you are proposing something that does not support forking me a process inside a container so I can have a shell without having to run a login program.

There is a reason I proposed ptrace as an initial prototype.

All of the other uses of enter in a namespace context I feel confident we can support by just having proper virtual filesystems available to processes outside of the container. For monitoring and control.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
