

---

Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH] memory controller background reclamation

Posted by [yamamoto](#) on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 22:43:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Balbir Singh wrote:

> > YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:

> >>> + int batch\_count = 128; /\* XXX arbitrary \*/

> >>> Could we define and use something like MEM\_CGROUP\_BATCH\_COUNT for now?

> >>> Later we could consider and see if it needs to be tunable. numbers are

> >>> hard to read in code.

> >> although i don't think it makes sense, i can do so if you prefer.

> >>

> >

> > Using numbers like 128 make the code unreadable. I prefer something

> > like MEM\_CGROUP\_BATCH\_COUNT since its more readable than 128. If we ever

> > propagate batch\_count to other dependent functions, I'd much rather do

> > it with a well defined name.

> >

>

> I just checked we already have FORCE\_UNCHARGE\_BATCH, we could just

> rename and re-use it.

i don't think it's a good idea to use a single constant for  
completely different things.

YAMAMOTO Takashi

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---