## Subject: Re: [RFC] [PATCH] memory controller background reclamation Posted by yamamoto on Mon, 26 Nov 2007 22:43:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > Balbir Singh wrote:
- > > YAMAMOTO Takashi wrote:
- >>>> + int batch\_count = 128; /\* XXX arbitrary \*/
- >>>> Could we define and use something like MEM\_CGROUP\_BATCH\_COUNT for now?
- >>>> Later we could consider and see if it needs to be tunable, numbers are
- >>>> hard to read in code.
- >>> although i don't think it makes sense, i can do so if you prefer.
- > >>
- > >
- > > Using numbers like 128 make the code unreadable. I prefer something
- > > like MEM\_CGROUP\_BATCH\_COUNT since its more readable than 128. If we ever
- > > propagate batch\_count to other dependent functions, I'd much rather do
- > > it with a well defined name.
- > >
- >
- > I just checked we already have FORCE\_UNCHARGE\_BATCH, we could just
- > rename and re-use it.

i don't think it's a good idea to use a single constant for completely different things.

YAMAMOTO Takashi

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers